

Reference = BABUSCI 15; PL B742 1
 Verifier code = KLOE

Normally we send all verifications for one experiment to one person, usually the spokesperson or data-analysis coordinator, who then distributes them to the appropriate people. Please tell us if we should send the verifications for your experiment to someone else.

PLEASE READ NOW

**PLEASE
REPLY
WITHIN
ONE WEEK**

Simona Giovannella

EMAIL: simona.giovannella@lnf.infn.it

July 21, 2016

Dear Colleague,

- (1) Please check the results of your experiment carefully. They are marked.
- (2) Please reply within one week.
- (3) Please reply even if everything is correct.
- (4) IMPORTANT!! Please tell WHICH papers you are verifying. We have lots of requests out.
- (5) Feel free to make comments on our treatment of any of the results (not just yours) you see.

Thank you for helping us make the Review accurate and useful.

Sincerely,

Simon Eidelman
 BINP, Budker Inst. of Nuclear Physics
 Prospekt Lavrent'eva 11
 RU-630090 Novosibirsk
 Russian Federation

EMAIL: simon.eidelman@cern.ch

LIGHT UNFLAVORED MESONS ($S = C = B = 0$)

For $I = 1$ (π, b, ρ, a): $u\bar{d}, (u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}, d\bar{u}$;
for $I = 0$ ($\eta, \eta', h, h', \omega, \phi, f, f'$): $c_1(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) + c_2(s\bar{s})$

$\phi(1020)$

$J^P C = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\phi(1020)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

1.08 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE

YOUR DATA	$\text{VALUE (units } 10^{-4}\text{)}$	EVTS	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{11}/Γ
	1.08 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
	1.075 ± 0.007 ± 0.038	30k	1 BABUSCI	15 KLOE	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	1.19 ± 0.19 ± 0.12	213	2 ACHASOV	01B SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	1.14 ± 0.10 ± 0.06	355	3 AKHMETSHIN	01 CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
	1.13 ± 0.14 ± 0.07	183	4 AKHMETSHIN	01 CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	1.21 ± 0.14 ± 0.09	130	5 AKHMETSHIN	01 CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	1.04 ± 0.20 ± 0.08	42	6 AKHMETSHIN	01 CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	1.3 ± 0.8	7	GOLUBEV	85 ND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	

1 Using $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.57 \pm 0.23)\%$ from PDG 12.

2 Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.25 \pm 0.32)\%$, $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.26 \pm 0.06)\%$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (3.00 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$.

3 The average of the branching ratios separately obtained from the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays.

4 From $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays and using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.33 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (4.75 \pm 11) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.

5 From $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decays and using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (98.798 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0) = (32.24 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (4.75 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.

6 From $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays and using $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (98.798 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma) = (1.198 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (23.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$, $B(\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (15.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$, and $B(\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (1.297 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$.

PARAMETER β IN $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$ DECAY

In the one-pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$ is given as a function of the $e^+ e^-$ invariant mass squared, q^2 , by the expression:

$$|F(q^2)|^2 = (1 - q^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2},$$

where vector meson dominance predicts parameter $\Lambda \approx 0.770 \text{ GeV}$ ($\Lambda^{-2} \approx 1.687 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$). The slope of this form factor, $\beta = dF/dq^2(q^2=0)$, equals Λ^{-2} in this approximation.

The measurements below obtain β in the one-pole approximation.

1.29 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE

YOUR DATA	$\text{VALUE (GeV}^{-2}\text{)}$	EVTS	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	NODE=M004BFP
	1.29 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE					
	1.28 ± 0.10 ± 0.09	30k	1 BABUSCI	15 KLOE	1.02 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	
	3.8 ± 1.8	213	ACHASOV	01B SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$	

1 The uncertainty is statistical only with negligible systematic one.

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=MXXX005

NODE=M004

NODE=M004220

NODE=M004R24

NODE=M004R24

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

NODE=M004R24;LINKAGE=A

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=VM

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H1

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H2

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H3

NODE=M004R;LINKAGE=H4

NODE=M004BFP

NODE=M004BFP

NODE=M004BFP

NODE=M004BFP;LINKAGE=A

$\phi(1020)$ REFERENCES

NODE=M004

YOUR PAPER	BABUSCI PDG ACHASOV AKHMETSHIN GOLUBEV	15 12 01B 01 85	PL B742 1 PR D86 010001 PL B504 275 PL B501 191 SJNP 41 756	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i> J. Beringer <i>et al.</i> M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i> V.B. Golubev <i>et al.</i>
Translated from YAF 41 1183.				

(KLOE Collab.)
(PDG Collab.)
(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
(NOVO)

REFID=56374
REFID=54066
REFID=48111
REFID=48110
REFID=40450